



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/422,264	04/14/95	GERARDUS DE VRIES J	P/1034-54

24M1/0509

JAMES A FINDER
OSTROLENK FABER GERB AND SOFFEN
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK NY 10036-8403

EXAMINER

DOLAN, R

ART UNIT

2306

PAPER NUMBER

9

DATE MAILED: 05/09/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/422,264

Applicant(s)

De Vries

Examiner

Robert J. Dolan

Group Art Unit

2306



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert J. Dolan(3) Matt Dernier(2) Jim Finder

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 4, 1997Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 6, 19, and 21

Identification of prior art discussed:

US 4,901,267 (Wong et al), US 4,931,971 (Cook et al) and US 4,953,119 (Birman et al).

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

1.) Examiner agreed that applicant's proposed amendment of claim 6 overcomes the rejection of the claim as being anticipated by Cook et al. Examiner further indicated that the deletion of "control logic" from claim 6 would be acceptable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.